

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1490 Alexandra Viguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/419,262	1	10/12/1999	LARS E. FRENCH	11141/003001	9978
26161	7590	09/17/2003			
FISH & RICHARDSON PC				EXAMINER	
225 FRANKLIN ST BOSTON, MA 02110			SPECTOR, LORRAINE		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1647	$\sim$ 1
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Applicant(s) Application No. FRENCH ET AL. 09/419,262 Art Unit **Advisory Action** Examiner 1647 Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. no event, nowever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than 31A WONTHS from the maining date of the final rejection. See MPEP ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee mave been med is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or tee under 37 CFM 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originary set in the final rejection, even if (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (c) \( \sum \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (d) \( \sum \) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_ Claim(s) rejected: 7-20.

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Lorraine Spector, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Lynch was filed in 1995. Art had matured by the time the primary reference, Hattori, was published in 1998. It remains obvious to modify the teachings of Hattori in view of the equivalence of anti-Fas and anti-FasL antibodies as taught by Lynch et al. With regard to IVIG, such indicates intravenous administration of immunoglobulins, and not any particular source of such immunoglobulins.